

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Whi

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,664	01/30/2001	Kazuhito Ohashi	1232-4676	2213
27123	7590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			THOMPSON, JAMES A	
	NANCIAL CENTER , NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•	,		2625	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/772,664	OHASHI, KAZUHITO				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
!	James A. Thompson	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the 	n the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replate of the final rejection. isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ly must be filed within e final rejection, whicheve	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any experience.). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	and the appropriate extension of the appropri	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any ths of the date of the appeal.			
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	oe filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: see attached. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41. 	ensideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 37-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hefere or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will r	ant he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached.	ut does NOT place the application i					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	and M	me			
13. Other:	SUPE TE	DAVID MOORE RVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER	CAMINER 2800			

Application/Control Number: 09/772,664 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The proposed amendments to the claims add limitations which narrow the scope of the overall invention. Thus, the proposed amendments would require further search and further consideration. Therefore, the proposed amendments to the claims are not entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 30 August 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are directed to the proposed amendments to the claims, which have not been entered, and not the claims as presently recited. While the proposed amendments to claim 37 would certainly further narrow the scope of claim 37, Examiner will need to further consider the combination of prior art references presently cited in the prior art rejections in order to determine if proposed claim 37 overcomes the presently cited prior art references. Furthermore, even if proposed claim 37 were to be found to distinguish over the prior art references presently relied upon for the prior art rejections, a further search will need to be conducted in order to ascertain whether or not there is additional prior art that anticipates the proposed claims and/or renders the proposed claims obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,664

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-7441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

01 September 2006

James A. Thompson Examiner Technology Division 2625

1) and mol

Page 3

DAVID MOORE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600